REMARKS BY THE DELEGATION OF GUATEMALA AT THE INFORMAL INTERACTIVE DIALOGUE ON THE RESPONSIBILITY TO PROTECT
(8 SEPTEMBER 2014)

Mr. Moderator:

I want to thank the panelists for their presentations, and to extend our recognition to Adama Dieng and Jennifer Welsh for their tireless efforts regarding the responsibility to protect (R2P).

As you all are aware Guatemala has strongly supported the evolving norm called "the responsibility to protect". We consider paragraphs 138 and 139 of the 2005 Final Outcome Document among one of the most significant achievements of the Summit and we have actively pursued transforming its general political framework into specific policies of implementation.

My country is a microcosm of having experienced both ends of the argument on R2P. On the one hand, we have a deeply embedded principle of non-intervention in the internal affairs of states. On the other hand we went through a protracted 36-year internal conflict where mass atrocities were committed. Therefore the mantra of “never again” finds resonance with us. At least we cannot accept that national governments hide behind the well established culture of non-intervention to slaughter their own populations. We believe that Governments have to commit to protecting the right to life of their own populations, as a sacred obligation. If we practice this standard we have a right to demand others do the same.

Mr. Moderator:

You will recall the 2005 Final Outcome Document underlines the "need for the General Assembly to continue consideration of the responsibility to protect populations from genocide, war crimes, ethnic cleansing and crimes against humanity and its implications…". We believe that the General Assembly has only delivered partially on this mandate by clarifying some of the aspects that have emerged in the informal debates of the previous years. Contrastingly the Secretariat has done its part by producing six comprehensive reports, including the latest one, that offer a more detailed conceptual framework to the 'three pillars' and explore its scope and consequences from every single possible angle. Even so, with the sole exception of resolution 63/308, this Assembly has not reaped the fruits of 2005 to present, nor has it been able to garner a new momentum to the conceptual framework of the three pillars.

For this reason on the occasion of the upcoming tenth anniversary of the adoption in 2005 of the norm on the responsibility to protect by consensus that we take a pause with the current practice of informal debates and rather works with a view to holding in the 69th General Assembly a formal debate with the adoption of an outcome. This would allow the General Assembly to legislate policies and practices. We invite this room to reflect on this proposal and the way forward.

Thank you